

③
① Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 4999 /2004

PETITIONER

: Om Prakash Singh S/o Late Shri
S.P.Singh aged about 41 years. Ex-
Constable C.I.S.F. R/o- B/7/6/3 Bhilai
Steal Plant Bhilai District Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union Of India
Ministry of Home Affairs
Through the Director General
Central Industries Security Force,
Block no. 13 CGO Complex Lodhi
Road New Delhi 110003.
2. The Inspector General
North Sector, Central Industries
Security Force, Saket New Delhi.
3. The Deputy Inspector General
Central Industries Security Force,
Sector 3 B.S.P. Unit Bhilai District
Durg (C.G.)
4. The Commendent
(Appointing Authority)
Central Industries Security Force,
Sector 3 B.S.P. Unit Bhilai District
Durg (C.G.)
5. The Deputy Commandant
(Enquiry Authority)
Central Industries Security Force,
Sector 3 B.S.P. Unit Bhilai District
Durg (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Sr. Cent. Govt. Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

P.R. No. 4837/04
Presented by Shri. 19.12.04
dated 19.12.04
Mentioned in 2904



4

2

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 4997/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>16.9.2005</u> Petitioner by Shri F. S. Khare, Advocate. Respondents by Shri R. Pradhan, Advocate. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed this petition seeking a directing against the Respondent No.3 to reinstate the petitioner in service, on the ground that the petitioner was acquitted from the criminal offence as such the petitioner ought to have been reinstated in service. He further submits that the petitioner has made a representation dated 15.7.2004 (Annexure P/1) which is pending consideration, therefore the respondent No.3 may be directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within stipulated period. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with the direction to the Respondent No.3 to consider and decide the pending representation of the petitioner dated 15.7.2004, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three moths from the date of receipt or communication of this order, in accordance with law. Certified copy, as per Rules.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

21.9.05
21.9.05